

OIKOS MARINE & SOUTH SIDE DEVELOPMENT



PRELIMINARY ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION REPORT
VOLUME 2

Appendix 12.1: EPUK & IAQM Planning for Air Quality Guidance

PINS Reference TR030004
April 2021

Prepared by: Air Quality Consultants

Appendix 12.1 EPUK & IAQM Planning for Air Quality Guidance

- 1.1 The guidance issued by EPUK and IAQM¹ is comprehensive in its explanation of the place of air quality in the planning regime. Key sections of the IAQM Guidance ('the guidance') not already mentioned in Chapter 12 of the OMSSD PEIR are set out below.

Air Quality as a Material Consideration

- 1.2 Paragraph 4.17 states:

"Any air quality issue that relates to land use and its development is capable of being a material planning consideration. The weight, however, given to air quality in making a planning application decision, in addition to the policies in the local plan, will depend on such factors as:

- *the severity of the impacts on air quality;*
- *the air quality in the area surrounding the proposed development;*
- *the likely use of the development, i.e. the length of time people are likely to be exposed at that location; and*
- *the positive benefits provided through other material considerations".*

Recommended Best Practice

- 1.3 The guidance goes into detail on how all development proposals can and should adopt good design principles that reduce emissions and contribute to better air quality management. Paragraph 5.7 states:

"The basic concept is that good practice to reduce emissions and exposure is incorporated into all developments at the outset, at a scale commensurate with the emissions".

- 1.4 The guidance sets out a number of good practice principles that should be applied to all developments that:
- include 10 or more dwellings;
 - where the number of dwellings is not known, residential development is carried out on a site of more than 0.5 ha;
 - provide more than 1,000 m² of commercial floorspace;
 - are carried out on land of 1 ha or more.

¹ Moorcroft and Barrowcliffe *et.al* (2017), Land-use Planning & Development Control: Planning for Air Quality. v1.2. Institute of Air Quality Management, London.

1.5 The good practice principles set out in Paragraph 5.10 are that:

- New developments should not contravene the Council's Air Quality Action Plan, or render any of the measures unworkable;
- Wherever possible, new developments should not create a new "street canyon", as this inhibits pollution dispersion;
- Delivering sustainable development should be the key theme of any application;
- New development should be designed to minimise public exposure to pollution sources, e.g. by locating habitable rooms away from busy roads;
- The provision of at least 1 Electric Vehicle (EV) "rapid charge" point per 10 residential dwellings and/or 1000 m² of commercial floorspace. Where on-site parking is provided for residential dwellings, EV charging points for each parking space should be made available;
- Where development generates significant additional traffic, provision of a detailed travel plan (with provision to measure its implementation and effect) which sets out measures to encourage sustainable means of transport (public, cycling and walking) via subsidised or free-ticketing, improved links to bus stops, improved infrastructure and layouts to improve accessibility and safety;
- All gas-fired boilers to meet a minimum standard of <40 mgNO_x/kWh;
- Where emissions are likely to impact on an AQMA, all gas-fired CHP plant to meet a minimum emissions standard of:
 - Spark ignition engine: 250 mgNO_x/Nm³;
 - Compression ignition engine: 400 mgNO_x/Nm³;
 - Gas turbine: 50 mgNO_x/Nm³.
- A presumption should be to use natural gas-fired installations. Where biomass is proposed within an urban area it is to meet minimum emissions standards of 275 mgNO_x/Nm³ and 25 mgPM/Nm³.

1.6 The guidance also outlines that offsetting emissions might be used as a mitigation measure for a proposed development. However, it states in Paragraph 5.11 that:

"It is important that obligations to include offsetting are proportional to the nature and scale of development proposed and the level of concern about air quality; such offsetting can be based on a quantification of the emissions associated with the development. These emissions can be assigned a value, based on the "damage cost approach" used by Defra, and then applied as an indicator of the level of offsetting required, or as a financial obligation on the developer. Unless some form of benchmarking is applied, it is impractical to include building emissions in this approach, but if the boiler and CHP emissions are consistent with the standards as described above then this is not essential".

1.7 The guidance offers a widely used approach for quantifying costs associated with pollutant emissions from transport. It also outlines the following typical measures in Paragraph 5.14 that may be considered to offset emissions, stating that measures to offset emissions may also be applied as post assessment mitigation:

- Support and promotion of car clubs;
- Contributions to low emission vehicle refuelling infrastructure;
- Provision of incentives for the uptake of low emission vehicles;
- Financial support to low emission public transport options; and
- Improvements to cycling and walking infrastructures.

Screening

Impacts of the Local Area on the Development

1.8 Paragraph 6.12 of the guidance states:

“There may be a requirement to carry out an air quality assessment for the impacts of the local area’s emissions on the proposed development itself, to assess the exposure that residents or users might experience. This will need to be a matter of judgement and should take into account:

- *The background and future baseline air quality and whether this will be likely to approach or exceed the values set by air quality objectives;*
- *The presence and location of Air Quality Management Areas as an indicator of local hotspots where the air quality objectives may be exceeded;*
- *The presence of a heavily trafficked road, with emissions that could give rise to sufficiently high concentrations of pollutants (in particular nitrogen dioxide), that would cause unacceptably high exposure for users of the new development; and*
- *The presence of a source of odour and/or dust that may affect amenity for future occupants of the development.”*

Impacts of the Development on the Local Area

1.9 The guidance sets out two stages of screening criteria in Table 6.1 that can be used to identify whether a detailed air quality assessment is required, in terms of the impact of the development on the local area. The first stage is that you should proceed to the second stage if any of the following apply:

- 10 or more residential units or a site area of more than 0.5 ha residential use; and/or
- more than 1,000 m² of floor space for all other uses or a site area greater than 1 ha.

1.10 Coupled with any of the following:

- the development has more than 10 parking spaces; and/or
- the development will have a centralised energy facility or other centralised combustion process.

1.11 If the above do not apply then the development can be screened out as not requiring a detailed air quality assessment of the impact of the development on the local area. If they do apply then you proceed to stage 2, which sets out indicative criteria in Table 6.2 for requiring an air quality assessment. The stage 2 criteria relating to vehicle emissions are set out below:

- the development will lead to a change in LDV flows of more than 100 AADT within or adjacent to an AQMA or more than 500 AADT elsewhere;
- the development will lead to a change in HDV flows of more than 25 AADT within or adjacent to an AQMA or more than 100 AADT elsewhere;
- the development will lead to a realigning of roads (i.e. changing the proximity of receptors to traffic lanes) where the change is 5m or more and the road is within an AQMA;

- the development will introduce a new junction or remove an existing junction near to relevant receptors, and the junction will cause traffic to significantly change vehicle acceleration/deceleration, e.g. traffic lights or roundabouts;
 - the development will introduce or change a bus station where bus flows will change by more than 25 AADT within or adjacent to an AQMA or more than 100 AADT elsewhere; and
 - the development will have an underground car park with more than 100 movements per day (total in and out) with an extraction system that exhausts within 20 m of a relevant receptor.
- 1.12 The criteria are more stringent where the traffic impacts may arise on roads where concentrations are close to the objective. The presence of an AQMA is taken to indicate the possibility of being close to the objective, but where whole authority AQMAs are present and it is known that the affected roads have concentrations below 90% of the objective, the less stringent criteria are likely to be more appropriate.
- 1.13 On combustion processes (including standby emergency generators and shipping) where there is a risk of impacts at relevant receptors, Table 6.2 of the guidance states that:
- “Typically, any combustion plant where the single or combined NO_x emission rate is less than 5 mg/sec is unlikely to give rise to impacts, provided that the emissions are released from a vent or stack in a location and at a height that provides adequate dispersion. As a guide, the 5 mg/s criterion equates to a 450 kW ultra-low NO_x gas boiler or a 30 kW CHP unit operating at <95 mg/Nm³.*
- In situations where the emissions are released close to buildings with relevant receptors, or where the dispersion of the plume may be adversely affected by the size and/or height of adjacent buildings (including situations where the stack height is lower than the receptor) then consideration will need to be given to potential impacts at much lower emission rates.*
- Conversely, where existing nitrogen dioxide concentrations are low, and where the dispersion conditions are favourable, a much higher emission rate may be acceptable”.*
- 1.14 Should none of the above apply then the development can be screened out as not requiring a detailed air quality assessment of the impact of the development on the local area, provided that professional judgement is applied; the guidance importantly states the following in paragraph 6.10:
- “The criteria provided are precautionary and should be treated as indicative. They are intended to function as a sensitive ‘trigger’ for initiating an assessment in cases where there is a possibility of significant effects arising on local air quality. This possibility will, self-evidently, not be realised in many cases. The criteria should not be applied rigidly; in some instances, it may be appropriate to amend them on the basis of professional judgement, bearing in mind that the objective is to identify situations where there is a possibility of a significant effect on local air quality”.*
- 1.15 Even if a development cannot be screened out, the guidance is clear in paragraph 6.9 that a detailed assessment is not necessarily required:

“The use of a Simple Assessment may be appropriate, where it will clearly suffice for the purposes of reaching a conclusion on the significance of effects on local air quality. The principle underlying this guidance is that any assessment should provide enough evidence that will lead to a sound conclusion on the presence, or otherwise, of a significant effect on local air quality. A Simple Assessment will be appropriate if it can provide this evidence. Similarly, it may be possible to conduct a quantitative assessment that does not require the use of a dispersion model run on a computer”.

- 1.16 The guidance also outlines what the content of the air quality assessment should include, and this has been adhered to in the production of Chapter 12 of this PEIR.

Assessment of Significance

- 1.17 There is no official guidance in the UK in relation to development control on how to describe the nature of air quality impacts, nor how to assess their significance. The approach set out in paragraph 6.27 of the EPUK/IAQM guidance has, therefore, been used in this assessment. This approach involves a two stage process:
- a qualitative or quantitative description of the impacts on local air quality arising from the development; and
 - a judgement on the overall significance of the effects of any impacts.
- 1.18 The guidance recommends that the assessment of significance should be based on professional judgement, with the overall air quality impact of the development described as either ‘significant’ or ‘not significant’. In drawing this conclusion, the following factors described in paragraphs 7.5, 7.7 and 7.10 should be taken into account:
- the existing and future air quality in the absence of the development;
 - the extent of current and future population exposure to the impacts;
 - the influence and validity of any assumptions adopted when undertaking the prediction of impacts;
 - the potential for cumulative impacts and, in such circumstances, several impacts that are described as ‘*slight*’ individually could, taken together, be regarded as having a significant effect for the purposes of air quality management in an area, especially where it is proving difficult to reduce concentrations of a pollutant. Conversely, a ‘*moderate*’ or ‘*substantial*’ impact may not have a significant effect if it is confined to a very small area and where it is not obviously the cause of harm to human health; and
 - the judgement on significance relates to the consequences of the impacts; will they have an effect on human health that could be considered as significant? In the majority of cases, the impacts from an individual development will be insufficiently large to result in measurable changes in health outcomes that could be regarded as significant by health care professionals.
- 1.19 The guidance is clear that other factors may be relevant in individual cases. It also states that the effect on the residents of any new development where the air quality is such that an air quality objective is not met will be judged as significant. For people working at new developments in this situation, the same will not be true as occupational exposure standards are different, although any assessment may wish to draw attention to the undesirability of the exposure.

- 1.20 A judgement of the significance should be made by a competent professional who is suitably qualified. A summary of the professional experience of the staff contributing to this assessment is provided in Appendix 12.2.